UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,260	12/11/2003	Colin Whitby-Strevens	APPLE.049A 9784		
	7590 12/18/2007 & ASSOCIATES, P.C.		EXAMINER		
11440 WEST BERNARDO COURT			ABRAHAM, ESAW T		
SUITE 375 SAN DIEGO, CA 92127			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2112		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/735,260	WHITBY-STREVENS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Esaw T. Abraham	2112				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Se	eptember 2007.					
	——————————————————————————————————————					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
	application	·				
4) Claim(s) 31-49 and 57-81 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-30 and 50-56</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	·					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S REMARKS

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II (claims 31-49) and new added claims (claims 57-81), which are related to the non-elected Group I (claims 1-12 and 50-56, see the second office action) are acknowledged. However, upon further consideration, a new Restriction/requirement is made against to the new added claims (claims 57-81) since the claims are distinct from Group II and classified under 714/801. NOTE: The Examiner would like to point out that, the applicant must elect one of the groups and should not add new claims which are classified within the already canceled claims as "non-elected" in the previous office actions.

Election / Restriction

Restriction to one of the following invention is required under 35 U.S.C. 121

Claims 57-81 drawn to: Group I.

A method of reliably transmitting data across a communication medium comprising: encoding a symbol comprising a plurality of bits, wherein a most significant bit and a least significant bit of said symbol are adapted to indicate a symbol type; and transmitting the symbol across the communication medium; wherein said encoding increases the reliability of said transmitting data (as in claim 57) or A computerized apparatus for transmitting data across a communication medium, said apparatus comprising: a first module adapted to encode a symbol comprising a

Application/Control Number:

10/735,260 Art Unit: 2112

plurality of bits, wherein the first module is adapted to indicate a symbol type by setting or resetting both a most significant bit and a least significant bit of said symbol; and a second module adapted to transmit the encoded symbol across the communication medium (as in claim 68) classified in 714/747.

Group II. Claims 31-49 drawn to:

A method of transmitting data across a communication medium to a receiving said comprising receiving at said receiving side a symbol comprising a plurality of bits; reading the most significant bit and the least significant bit of said symbol; and determining a symbol type based at least on said reading (as in claim 31) and an apparatus for transmitting data transmitted across a communication medium, comprising a first module adapted to receive a symbol comprising a plurality of bits a second module in data communication with said first module and adapted to read the most significant bit and the least significant bit of said symbol; and a third module adapted to determine a symbol type based on the reading of the second module (as in claim 41) classified in 714/801.

The invention are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention Group I and Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if

10/735,260 Art Unit: 2112

they don't overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable,

In the instance case, invention Group I has separate utility such as encoding a symbol comprising a plurality of bits, wherein a most significant bit and a least significant bit of said symbol are adapted to indicate a symbol type; and transmitting the symbol across the communication medium; wherein said encoding increases the reliability of said transmitting data or A computerized apparatus for transmitting data across a communication medium, said apparatus comprising: a first module adapted to encode a symbol comprising a plurality of bits, wherein the first module is adapted to indicate a symbol type by setting or resetting both a most significant bit and a least significant bit of said symbol; and a second module adapted to transmit the encoded symbol across the communication medium (as in claim 68). See MPEP 806.05(d).

In the instant case, the invention of Group II has separate utility such as transmitting, receiving and reading a symbol wherein the symbol comprises most significant bit and least significant bit and determining a symbol type based the reading (as in claim 31) and an apparatus comprising a first module for receiving a symbol, a second module for reading the most significant bit and the least significant bit of the symbol and a third module for determining a symbol type based on the reading of the second module (as in claim 41) which the claims are basically reading bits, comparing the bits and determining a symbol type based

on the reading (checking character or symbols) classified in 714/801. See MPEP 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claims(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim present in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or non-statutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reason given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reason given above and the reasons given above and search required for Group II is not for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reason given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2112

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the invention require a different field of search (see MPEP 808.02), restriction of examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the specification. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Esaw T. Abraham whose telephone number is (571) 272-3812. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on (571) 272-6962. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2112

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Esaw Abraham

Art unit: 2112